UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,277	03/09/2006	Hideyuki Okano	09707.0009	6053	
22852 7590 07/21/2009 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			LEAVITT, MARIA GOMEZ		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/571,277	OKANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	MARIA LEAVITT	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 July 2009. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	auding of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18,19,21,22,27 and 31-41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-17,20,23-26 and</u>	<u> 1 28-30</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hoforo or on the date of filing a No	ation of Annual will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:							
	Morio Loovill						
	/Maria Leavitt/ Examiner, Art Unit 1633	3					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 18, 21, 32 and 36 introduce specific limitations, i.e., "administration of Galectin-1 to the vicinity of neuronal stem cells in the brain". None of the claims previously examined recited, "administration of Galectin-1 to the vicinity of neuronal stem cells in the brain". This limitation was not previously examined requiring new search and consideration of the art made of record, and of the specification for support of the amendment. Therefore, the amendment to the claims filed on 07-13-2009 has not been entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments rely upon and are directed to the proposed amendments. As the claims' amendment has not been entered, applicants' arguments based on the proposed amendment are not persuasive. Therefore, the rejections of record are maintained..